**JOM 23893** 

# Dinuclear molybdenum carbonyls bridged by hydride ligands

Jiann T. Lin<sup>a</sup>, Yuh C. Lee<sup>b</sup>, Han Mou Kao<sup>b</sup>, Teng-Yuan Dong<sup>a</sup> and Yuh S. Wen<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei (Taiwan)

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, National Chung-Hsin University, Taichung (Taiwan)

(Received April 17, 1993; in revised form June 2, 1993)

#### Abstract

Complexes  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7(NO)$  (n = 1-4, designated, respectively, as 1, 2, 3, and 4),  $(\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_8(L)(NO)$  (5, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; 6, L = P( $p-C_6H_4F_3$ ; 7, L = P( $p-C_6H_4Me_3$ ; 8, L = P( $p-C_6H_4OMe_3$ ), and  $(\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_7(L)_2(NO)$  (9, L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>; 10, L = PMe\_2Ph) are synthesized from nitrosylation of  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_8)$  (n = 1-4),  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_9(P(p-C_6H_4X)_3))$  (X = H, F, Me, OMe), and  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_8(L)_2)$  (L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, PMe\_2Ph) with one equivalent of NO<sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -60°C. Treatment of 1-4 with Ph<sub>3</sub>P=N<sup>n</sup>Pr provides  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_6(CN<sup>n</sup>Pr)(NO)$  (11-14, n = 1-4). X-ray crystal structure analyses for complexes 3, 12, and  $(\mu-H)W_2(CO)_7(PMe_2Ph)_2(NO)$  (16) were carried out to give data as follows. 3: triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ , Z = 4, a 11.698(2), b 13.926(2), c 21.605(4) Å,  $\alpha$  93.72(2),  $\beta$  92.89(3),  $\gamma$  91.85(3)°, V 3505.5 Å<sup>3</sup>, R = 0.034,  $R_w = 0.036$ . 12: triclinic,  $\overline{P1}$ , Z = 2, a 10.100(3), b 12.982(4), c 15.453(4) Å,  $\alpha$  102.60(2),  $\beta$  83.29(2),  $\gamma$  105.75(2)°, V 1899.6 Å<sup>3</sup>, R = 0.034,  $R_w = 0.055$ . 16: monoclinic, C2/c, Z 8, a 15.668(1), b 12.892(2), c 28.764(3) Å,  $\beta$  98.883(8)°, V 5740.4 Å<sup>3</sup>, R = 0.032,  $R_w = 0.034$ .

Key words: Molybdenum; Carbonyl; Hydride

## 1. Introduction

An unsupported three-centre, two-electron metalhydrogen-metal bond is intrinsically flexible and subject to small changes in its environment [1]. Several factors, such as metal [2], substituent ligand [3], and even counterion and crystal packing [4], are all responsible for structural variation of dimeric complexes with M-H-M bridge. Dinuclear complexes derived from  $HW_2(CO)_9(NO)$  do indeed exhibit structural versatility [5-7]. Recently we extended our study to HMo<sub>2</sub>  $(CO)_{0}(NO)$  and found out that cleavage occurred much more readily with the molybdenum dimer than with its tungsten congener [8]. In order to have a wider spectrum for the correlation between the stability and the structure of dimeric compounds with a Mo-H-Mo linkage, we set out to synthesize complexes  $(\mu-H)(\mu-H)$  $Ph_2P \sim PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7(NO), (\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_7(L)_2$ (NO), and  $(\mu$ -H)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(L)(NO) (L = phosphine). In this paper we describe the syntheses of these complexes. Structural characterizations for several complexes are also included.

#### 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. General procedure

All manipulations were carried out under an atmosphere of N<sub>2</sub> using standard Schlenk techniques or a N<sub>2</sub>-filled glovebox. All solvents were dried and degassed with use of standard procedures. All column chromatography was performed under N<sub>2</sub> on silica gel (230-400 mesh ASTM, Merck) as the stationary phase in a column of 2.5 cm in diameter. Complexes  $(\text{Et}_4\text{N})((\mu-\text{H})(\mu-\text{Ph}_2\text{P}(\text{CH}_2)_n\text{PPh}_2)\text{Mo}_2(\text{CO})_8)$  (n =1-4) [9],  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_0(L))$  (L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; P(p- $C_6H_4X_3$  (X = F, Me, OMe)) [4a], (Et<sub>4</sub>N)(( $\mu$ -H)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>) (L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>; PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph) [4b], and  $(\text{Et}_4\text{N})((\mu-\text{H})\text{W}_2(\text{CO})_8(\text{L})_2)$  (L = PMe<sub>3</sub>; PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph;  $P(OMe)_3$  [10], were prepared according to published procedures. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer. Infrared measurements were made on a Perkin-Elmer 880 spectrometer. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were run on a

Correspondence to: Dr. J.T. Lin.

Bruker MSL-200 or AC-200 instrument. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were referenced to TMS and external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

## 2.2. Preparations

2.2.1.  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7(NO)$  (1)

One equivalent of solid NO<sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (121 mg, 1.04 mmol) was added all at once via a Schlenk tube into a vigorously stirred CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_8)$  (1.0 g, 1.04 mmol) prechilled to  $-60^{\circ}$ C. After 5 h at  $-60^{\circ}$ C the deep red solution was evacuated at  $-20^{\circ}$ C. The resulting residue was then recrystallized from Et<sub>2</sub>O and hexane at  $-40^{\circ}$ C to provide orange-yellow powdery 1 (176 mg, 21% yield). Anal. Found: C, 47.84; H, 3.12; N, 1.67. C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>23</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub> calc.: C, 47.84; H, 2.89; N, 1.74%.

Orange-yellow complexes  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_n$ PPh<sub>2</sub>)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>(NO) (n = 2-4, designated as 2, 3, and 4) were prepared by essentially the same procedure as that for the synthesis of 1. Complex 2 had a yield of 41%. Anal. Found: C, 47.98; H, 3.17; N, 1.62. C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>25</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>8</sub>P<sub>2</sub> calc.: C, 48.49; H, 3.08; N, 1.71%.

Complex 3: yield 33%. Anal. Found: C, 48.93; H, 3.07; N, 1.71.  $C_{34}H_{27}Mo_2NO_8P_2$  calc.: C, 49.12; H, 3.27; N, 1.68%.

Complex 4: yield 32%. Anal. Found: C, 49.45; H, 3.59; N, 1.57.  $C_{35}H_{29}Mo_2NO_8P_2$  calc.: C, 49.72; H, 3.46; N, 1.66%.

2.2.2.  $(\mu$ -H)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(L)(NO) (5, L = PPh<sub>3</sub>; 6, L = P(p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>F)<sub>3</sub>; 7, L = P(p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Me)<sub>3</sub>; 8, L = P(p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>OMe)<sub>3</sub>)

Complexes 5-8 were prepared from  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)Mo_2(CO)_9(L))$  by the same procedure as for the synthesis of 1 except that the solution was evacuated at 25°C and the residue was chromatographed. The orange-yellow powdery product was obtained from the orange-yellow first band eluted by  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexanc (1/8 v/v).

Complex 5: yield 10%. Anal. Found: C, 43.86; H, 2.39; N, 1.94.  $C_{26}H_{16}Mo_2NO_9P$  calc.: C, 44.03; H, 2.27; N, 1.97%.

Complex 6: yield 10%. Anal. Found: C, 40.98; H, 1.83; N, 1.82.  $C_{26}F_3H_{13}Mo_2NO_9P$  calc.: C, 40.92; H, 1.72; N, 1.84%.

Complex 7: yield 15%. Anal. Found: C, 46.51; H, 3.05; N, 1.84.  $C_{29}H_{22}Mo_2NO_9P$  calc.: C, 46.36; H, 2.95; N, 1.86%.

Complex 8: yield 25%. Anal. Found: C, 44.13; H, 2.80; N, 1.66.  $C_{29}H_{22}Mo_2NO_{12}P$  calc.: C, 43.58; H, 2.77; N, 1.75%.

Complexes 5-8 could also be synthesized from  $HMo_2(CO)_9(NO)$  and  $P(p-C_6H_4X)_3$  (X = H, F, Me,

OMe) according to the published procedure [8]. The isolated yields (based on  $HMo_2(CO)_9(NO)$ ) were 32, 28, 36, and 24%, respectively.

2.2.3.  $(\mu$ -H)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub>(NO) (9, L = P(OMe)<sub>3</sub>; 10, L = PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)

Essentially the same procedure as for the synthesis of 1 was followed, but the corresponding  $(Et_4N)(\mu$ -H)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub>(L)<sub>2</sub> was used and the solution was evacuated at  $-40^{\circ}$ C. Orange-yellow complex 9 had a yield of 7%. Anal. Found: C, 23.28; H, 2.84; N, 2.20. C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>19</sub>Mo<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>14</sub>P<sub>2</sub> calc.: C, 23.41; H, 2.87; N, 2.10%.

Orange-yellow complex 10 had a yield of 10%. Anal. Found: C, 37.96; H, 3.40; N, 2.36.  $C_{23}H_{23}Mo_2NO_8P_2$  calc.: C, 39.73; H, 3.33; N, 2.01%.

2.2.4.  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_6(CN^nPr)$ (NO) (11)

To a mixture of 1 (300 mg, 0.40 mmol) and  $Ph_3P=N^nPr$  (140 mg, 0.44 mmol) was added 50 ml of  $CH_2Cl_2$  and the solution was stirred for 3 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was chromatographed. Yellow powdery 11 was isolated from the yellow first band eluted by  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexane (1/4 v/v) in a yield of 10%. We found that 11 was frequently contaminated with an unidentified impurity, possibly derived from the decomposition of 1. Anal. Found: C, 47.34; H, 3.51; N, 3.58.  $C_{35}H_{30}Mo_2N_2O_7P_2$  calc.: C, 49.78; H, 3.58; N, 3.31%.

2.2.5. Complexes  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)Mo_2-(CO)_6(CN^nPr)(NO)$  (12-14, n = 2-4)

These were prepared similarly. Complex 12 had a yield of 47%. Anal. Found: C, 49.97; H, 3.82; N, 3.04.  $C_{36}H_{32}Mo_2N_2O_7P_2$  calc.: C, 50.37; H, 3.76; N, 3.26%. Complex 13 had a yield of 27%. Anal. Found: C, 50.80; H, 3.84; N, 3.42.  $C_{37}H_{34}Mo_2N_2O_7P_2$  calc.: C, 50.93; H, 3.93; N, 3.21%. Complex 14 had a yield of 23%. Anal. Found: C, 51.16; H, 4.04; N, 2.52.  $C_{38}H_{36}Mo_2N_2O_7P_2$  calc.: C, 51.48; H, 4.09; N, 3.16%.

2.2.6.  $(\mu - H)W_2(CO)_7(L)_2(NO)$  (15,  $L = P(OMe)_3$ ; 16,  $L = PMe_2Ph$ ; 17,  $L = PMe_3$ )

These orange complexes were prepared from  $(Et_4N)((\mu-H)W_2(CO)_8(L)_2)$  by the same procedure as for the synthesis of 5, but the reaction time was 12 h. The complexes were isolated from the orange second band eluted by  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexane (1/2 v/v). Complex 15: yield 38%. Anal. Found: C, 18.48; H, 1.97; N, 1.70.  $C_{13}H_{19}NO_{14}P_2W_2$  calc.: C, 18.52; H, 2.27; N, 1.66%. Complex 16: yield 10%. Anal. Found: C, 31.54; H, 2.66; N, 1.49.  $C_{28}H_{23}NO_8P_2W_2$  calc.: C, 31.71; H, 2.66; N, 1.61%. Complex 17: yield 15%. Anal. Found: C, 20.88; H, 2.34; N, 1.83.  $C_{13}H_{19}NO_8P_2W_2$  calc.: C, 20.90; H, 2.56; N, 1.88%.

TABLE 1. Crystal data for compounds 3, 12, and 16

	3	12	16
Formula	C <sub>34</sub> H <sub>27</sub> Mo <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>32</sub> Mo <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>7</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>23</sub> NO <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> W <sub>2</sub>
Formula weight	831.41	858.49	871.08
a (Å)	11.698(6)	10.100(3)	15.668(1)
b (Å)	13.926(2)	12.982	12.892(2)
c (Å)	21.605(4)	15.453	28.764(3)
$\alpha$ (°)	93.72(2)	102.60(2)	
β(°)	92.89(3)	83.29(2)	98.883(8)
γ(°)	91.85(3)	105.75(2)	
Crystal system	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	Р1	<i>P</i> 1	C2/c
Z	4	2	8
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	3506(2)	1899.6(9)	5740(1)
D <sub>caic</sub> (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.575	1.501	2.016
Crystal size (mm)	0.50 × 0.44 × 0.19	0.31 × 0.19 × 0.13	0.19 × 0.19 × 0.41
Radiation $\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	Mo K $\alpha$ ( $\lambda = 0.7107$ Å)	same	same
	0.84	0.77	8.33
Transmission factors (max; min)	1.00; 0.92	1.00; 0.89	1.00; 0.86
2θ-range	0-45	0-45	0-50
Octants	+h, $\pm k$ , $\pm l$	$\pm h, \pm k, \pm l$	$\pm h, +k, +l$
	0 ~ 12 - 14 ~ 14 - 23 ~ 23	-10 ~ 10 0 ~ 13 - 16 ~ 16	-18 ~ 18 0 ~ 15 0 ~ 34
No. of unique reflections	9127	4945	5031

## 2.3. Crystallographic studies

Crystals of  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_3PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7$ -(NO) (3),  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_6$ - $(CN^{n}Pr)(NO)$  (12), and  $(\mu-H)W_{2}(CO)_{7}(PMe_{2}Ph)_{2}(NO)$ (16) were obtained by cooling a concentrated solution of the corresponding complex in  $CH_2Cl_2$ /hexane (1/5 v/v) at  $-5^{\circ}C$  for several days. Crystals were mounted in thin glass capillary tubes. Diffraction measurements were made on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ 0.7107 Å) with the  $\theta$ -2 $\theta$  scan mode. Unit cells were determined from centring 25 reflections in the suitable  $2\theta$  range. Other relevant experimental details are listed in Table 1. Absorption corrections according to  $\psi$ scans of three reflections were applied. All the data processing was carried out on a MicroVAX 3600 using the NRCC SDP program [11]. The coordinates of molybdenum and tungsten atoms were obtained from Patterson syntheses. The coordinates of all the remaining atoms except hydrogen atoms were obtained from a series of structure factor calculations and Fourier syntheses. The structures were refined by minimizing  $\sum \omega (|F_{o}| - |F_{c}|)^{2}$ , where  $\omega = 1/\sigma (F_{o})^{2}$  was calculated from the counting statistics. The atomic scattering factors  $f_o$  and anomalous dispersion terms f', f'' were taken from ref. 12. The position of the bridging hydrogen atom for 3 was located from the final difference Fourier maps and not refined. Other hydrogen atoms were included in the structure factor calculation in idealized position with  $d_{C-H}$  0.98 Å. The final positional parameters for the atoms in 3, 12, and 16 are in Table 2. Selected interatomic distances and bond angles are in Table 3.

## 3. Results and discussion

Reaction of phosphine-substituted metal carbonyls with NO<sup>+</sup> salts frequently results in loss of carbon monoxide and incorporation of NO ligands [13]. We previously applied this strategy to synthesize  $(\mu-H)(\mu-H)$  $Ph_2P \sim PPh_2W_2(CO)_7(NO)$  from  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P \sim$  $PPh_2W_2(CO)_8^-$  and  $NO^+BF_4^-$  [14]. We have extended this methodology to the preparations of molybdenum analogues,  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7(NO)$ (1-4, n = 1-4). Complexes containing monodentate phosphine ligands,  $(CO)_5 Mo(\mu-H)Mo(CO)_3(NO)(P(p C_6H_4X_3$  (5, X = H; 6, X = F; 7, X = Me; 8, X = OMe) and  $(CO)_4(L)Mo(\mu-H)Mo(CO)_3(L)(NO)$  (9, L =  $P(OMe)_3$ ; 10, L = PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph), could also be prepared in a similar fashion from  $(CO)_5Mo(\mu-H)Mo(CO)_4(P(p C_6H_4X_{3}$  and  $(CO)_4(L)Mo(\mu-H)(CO)_4(L)^-$ , respectively. For a comparative study, complexes (CO)<sub>4</sub>(L)W- $(\mu-H)W(CO)_3(L)(NO)$  (15, L = PMe<sub>3</sub>; 16, L = PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph; 17,  $L = P(OMe)_3$ ) were also synthesized, and 16 was structurally characterized by single crystal X-ray diffraction (vide infra). Although no dinuclear complexes containing a bridging hydrogen atom except for 5-8 were detected during nitrosylation of (CO)<sub>5</sub>Mo( $\mu$ -H)Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(P(p-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>X)<sub>3</sub>)<sup>-</sup>, formation of (NO)(CO)<sub>4</sub>- $Mo(\mu-H)Mo(CO)_4(P(p-C_6H_4X)_3)$  could not be ruled

# J.T. Lin et al. / Bridged dinuclear Mo carbonyls

TABLE 2. Positional parameters and  $B_{iso}$  values for the atoms in compounds 3, 12, and 16

Atom	<i>x</i>	у	z	B <sub>iso</sub>
Compound 3				
Mol	0,12071(5)	0.25846(4)	0.16219(2)	3.61(2)
Mo2	0.12805(5)	0.33257(4)	0.31423(2)	3.55(2)
Mo3	0.53861(5)	0.81545(4)	0.36385(2)	3.58(2)
Mo4	0,47880(5)	0.91346(4)	0.22679(3)	3.82(3)
P1	-0.09592(14)	0.26140(11)	0.14639(7)	3 32(7)
P2	-0.02632(13)	0.21392(10)	0.34504(7)	3.03(7)
P3	0.63513(13)	0.66655(10)	0.32152(7)	3.16(7)
P4	0.40830(13)	0.75872(11)	0.16535(7)	3.36(7)
N1	0.1600(5)	0.3820(4)	0.3944(2)	5.2(3)
N2	0.4367(5)	0.9876(4)	0.1593(3)	6.0(3)
01	0.1447(5)	0.4810(3)	0.1414(2)	8.3(3)
O2	0.3887(4)	0.2657(4)	0.1815(2)	8.7(4)
O3	0.1410(5)	0.0362(3)	0.1833(3)	8.6(3)
O4	0.1310(4)	0.2158(3)	0.0204(2)	6.1(3)
O5	-0.0391(5)	0.4974(3)	0.2802(2)	7.4(3)
O6	0.3234(4)	0.4677(4)	0.2667(2)	7.4(3)
07	0.3021(5)	0.1645(4)	0.3251(3)	9.1(3)
O8	0.1795(5)	0.4146(4)	0.4455(2)	7.7(3)
O21	0.7671(4)	0.9417(3)	0.3562(2)	5.9(2)
O22	0.4358(5)	1.0027(4)	0.4238(2)	8.7(3)
O23	0.2898(4)	0.7197(4)	0.3569(2)	7.7(3)
O24	0.6015(4)	0.7572(4)	0.4953(2)	6.7(3)
O25	0.7399(4)	0.9085(4)	0.1953(2)	7.1(3)
O26	0.5475(4)	1.1049(3)	0.3089(2)	6.8(3)
027	0.2312(4)	0.9156(4)	0.2819(2)	6.9(3)
028	0.4094(5)	1.0316(4)	0.1176(2)	8.2(3)
C1	0.1312(6)	0.4021(5)	0.1498(3)	5.0(3)
C2	0.2900(6)	0.2629(5)	0.1754(3)	5.5(4)
3	0.1304(6)	0.1149(5)	0.1757(3)	5.4(3)
C4	0.1295(5)	0.2312(4)	0.0742(3)	3.9(3)
	0.0182(6)	0.4187(4)	0.2937(3)	4.9(3)
	0.2343(0)	0.4162(4)	0.2830(3)	4.8( <i>3</i> ) 5.2(2)
	-0.2421(0)	0.2220(3)	0.3230(3)	3.3(3)
C10	-0.2044(5)	0.1802(4)	0.2145(3)	3.0(3)
C11	-0.1020(5)	0.1376(4)	0.2823(2)	3 4(3)
C21	0.6871(5)	0.8945(4)	0.3591(3)	4.0(3)
C22	0.4713(6)	0.9365(5)	0.4005(3)	5.5(4)
C23	0.3792(5)	0.7510(5)	0.3598(3)	4.5(3)
C24	0.5769(5)	0.7804(4)	0.4462(2)	3.7(3)
C25	0.6453(5)	0.9091(5)	0.2040(3)	5.2(3)
C26	0.5224(5)	1.0345(4)	0.2803(3)	4.7(3)
C27	0.3167(5)	0.9156(4)	0.2617(3)	4.6(3)
C29	0.6438(5)	0.6476(4)	0.2362(3)	3.6(3)
C30	0.5414(5)	0.5982(4)	0.1989(3)	3.9(3)
C31	0.4245(5)	0.6433(4)	0.2024(3)	3.7(3)
C41	-0.1343(5)	0.3666(4)	0.1040(3)	3.5(3)
C42	-0.1150(5)	0.3629(4)	0.0405(3)	4.2(3)
C43	-0.1319(6)	0.4434(5)	0.0071(3)	5.2(3)
C44	-0.1687(6)	0.5273(4)	0.0354(3)	5.6(4)
C45	-0.1877(6)	0.5313(4)	0.0981(3)	5.6(4)
C40	-0.1717(6)	0.4503(4)	0.1523(3)	4.9( <i>5</i> )
	-0.1/32(5)	0.1038(4)	U.UYY4(2)	3.8(3) 5.6(4)
	- 0.1193(6)	0.0072(5)	0.0450(4)	5.0(4) 7.2(5)
C54	- 0.1//U(/) 0.2804(7)	0.0075(5)	0.040(4) 0.0200(2)	7.5(5)
C55	-0.2090(/) -0.2452(6)	0.0130(3)	0.0290(3)	6.8(1)
C56	-0.34.33(0) -0.2877(6)	0.1702(5)	0.0403(3)	5 4(3)
C61	-0.1388(5)	0.2555(4)	0 3945(2)	3.2(2)
C62	-0.2213(5)	0.1917(4)	0.4127(3)	4.2(3)
				A- 7

# Table 2 (continued)

Atom	<u>x</u>	у	<i>z</i>	Biso	
C63	-0.2996(6)	0.2206(5)	0,4554(3)	5.4(3)	_
C64	-0.2931(6)	0.3125(5)	0.4811(3)	5.3(3)	
C65	-0.2136(6)	0.3784(4)	0.4637(3)	5.2(3)	
C66	-0.1360(5)	0.3508(4)	0.4204(3)	4.2(3)	
C71	0.0405(5)	0.1268(4)	0.3946(3)	3.4(3)	
C72	0.0753(6)	0.1610(4)	0.4543(3)	5.2(3)	
C73	0.1325(7)	0.1038(6)	0.4941(3)	6.7(4)	
C74	0.1529(6)	0.0110(5)	0.4744(3)	6.9(4)	
C75	0.1194(7)	-0.0234(5)	0.4165(3)	6.6(4)	
C76	0.0621(6)	0.0338(4)	0.3756(3)	4.9(3)	
C81	0.7860(5)	0.6699(4)	0.3470(2)	3.3(3)	
C82	0.8223(5)	0.6285(4)	0.4007(3)	4.0(3)	
C83	0.9356(5)	0.6367(5)	0.4222(3)	4.7(3)	
C84	1.0146(5)	0.6864(5)	0.3912(3)	5.4(4)	
C85	0.9800(5)	0.7294(5)	0.3383(3)	5.1(3)	
C86	0.8678(5)	0.7224(4)	0.3167(3)	4.3(3)	
C91	0.5851(5)	0.5482(4)	0.3443(3)	3.5(3)	
C92	0.6232(5)	0.4643(4)	0.3161(3)	4.2(3)	
C93	0.5802(6)	0.3759(4)	0.3325(3)	5.5(4)	
094	0.5045(6)	0.3709(4)	0.3784(3)	5.5(3)	
C95	0.4688(5)	0.4528(5)	0.4079(3)	5.3(3)	
C96	0.5090(5)	0.5419(4)	0.3904(3)	4.3(3)	
C101	0.4385(5)	0.7275(4)	0.0882(3)	3.6(3)	
C102	0.4063(6)	0.6516(5)	0.0515(3)	5.0(3)	
C105	0.4472(7)	0.0239(3)	-0.005/(3)	0.0(4)	
C104	0.5403(7)	0.6707(5)	-0.0201(3)	7.0(4)	
C105	0.5907(7)	0.7449(3)	0.0095(4)	7.5(5) 5.4(3)	
C100	0.3320(0)	0.7743(3)	0.0007(3)	3.4(3) 2.6(2)	
C112	0.2134(3)	0.7007(4)	0.1469(2)	3.0(3) 4.3(3)	
C112	0.0970(6)	0.8254(5)	0.0920(3)	5 7(4)	
C114	0.0195(6)	0.7764(5)	0.1253(3)	6 7(4)	
C115	0.0598(6)	0.7191(5)	0.1700(3)	5.8(4)	
C116	0.1752(5)	0.7120(5)	0.1826(3)	4.7(3)	
Compound 12					
Mol	0.68562(20)	0.63552(14)	0.20000(12)	3 97(11)	
Mo2	0.84127(21)	0.88887(14)	0.14935(12)	4 06(12)	
P1	0.8651(6)	0.6427(4)	0.3038(3)	4 3(3)	
P2	0.9333(6)	0.9687(4)	0.3000(3)	4 2(3)	
N1	0.9022(16)	1.0158(12)	0.1110(10)	4.8(10)	
N2	1.1113(21)	0.7928(17)	0.0848(13)	6.8(13)	
01	0.4898(22)	0.7399(17)	0.3463(13)	12.1(15)	
O2	0.4695(15)	0.6194(13)	0.0655(11)	7.8(10)	
O3	0.8645(19)	0.5434(13)	0.0351(10)	8.6(11)	
O4	0.5300(20)	0.3986(13)	0.2191(14)	10.7(15)	
O5	0.5508(19)	0.9330(16)	0.2190(13)	10.1(14)	
O6	0.7176(20)	0.7754(14)	- 0.0375(10)	8.9(12)	
07	0.9447(18)	1.1008(12)	0.0886(10)	8.5(12)	
C1	0.5641(25)	0.7004(17)	0.2951(15)	6.1(14)	
C2	0.5543(22)	0.6270(19)	0.1146(16)	6.2(15)	
C3	0.8042(24)	0.5789(17)	0.0946(15)	5.7(14)	
C4	0.5873(22)	0.4874(18)	0.2153(14)	5.5(13)	
С С	0.0381(27)	0.9204(18)	0.1945(15)	5.9(16)	
	0.7012(23)	0.8126(19)	0.0326(16)	5.8(15)	
$\sim$	1.0210(23)	0.8293(17)	0.1003(14)	<del>4</del> ./(1 <i>3)</i> 12.2(20)	
C10	1.2214(37)	0.7404(29)	0.0376(28) 	13.3(29) 1 <i>4 7</i> (27)	
C10	1.2562(51)	0.7022(41)	-0.0244(27) -0.0209(51)	14.7(37) 20 8(66)	
C12	0.9876(19)	0.7769(16)	0 3313(13)	4 7(12)	
C13	0.9113(21)	0.8696(14)	0.3694(11)	4.8(11)	
C21	0.8119(26)	0.6128(18)	0.4168(15)	5.5(13)	

## Table 2 (continued)

Atom x		у	2	B <sub>iso</sub>
C22	0.6884(37)	0.6010(37)	0.4510(26)	18,5(38)
C23	0.6433(34)	0.5860(46)	0.5344(22)	23.6(51)
C24	0.7237(34)	0.5667(27)	0.5849(19)	9.4(21)
C25	0.8394(41)	0.5798(44)	0,5555(22)	16.9(40)
C26	0.8871(32)	0.5959(39)	0.4687(25)	17.4(39)
C31	0.9828(25)	0.5551(18)	0.2593(12)	4.7(12)
C32	0.9218(26)	0.4437(21)	0.2288(18)	8.7(18)
C33	1.0105(34)	0.3776(20)	0.1943(17)	7.8(17)
C34	1.1446(45)	0.4131(35)	0.1905(20)	10.6(29)
C35	1.2052(30)	0.5226(30)	0.2203(20)	9.1(21)
C36	1.1187(31)	0.5869(19)	0.2555(16)	7.2(16)
C41	1.1119(23)	1.0406(15)	0.3024(14)	4.8(13)
C42	1.1849(26)	1.0858(23)	0.2329(16)	8.0(17)
C43	1.3193(31)	1.1411(22)	0.2362(20)	8.3(18)
C44	1.3968(28)	1.1498(23)	0.2983(23)	8.8(19)
C45	1.3299(35)	1.1041(37)	0.3696(20)	15.4(30)
C46	1.1916(33)	1.0507(27)	0.3676(19)	12.2(23)
C51	0.8474(21)	1.0688(15)	0.3692(12)	4.6(12)
C52	0.8780(34)	1.1660(23)	0.3528(23)	15.2(26)
C53	0.8016(42)	1.2426(23)	0.3925(25)	15.8(29)
C54	0.7219(36)	1.2238(22)	0.4640(16)	9.1(21)
C55	0.6800(26)	1.1260(24)	0.4789(18)	8.9(18)
C56	0.7481(24)	1.0483(17)	0.4314(18)	7.9(16)
Compound 16				
W1	0.22992(3)	0.32606(3)	0.16602(2)	3.09(2)
W2	0.36384(3)	0.38095(3)	0.08918(1)	3.13(2)
P1	0.15930(20)	0.49815(23)	0.17665(10)	3.64(13)
P2	0.44658(19)	0.53565(22)	0.12762(9)	3.25(12)
N	0.4300(7)	0.3840(7)	0.0423(3)	4.6(5)
O1	0.0997(6)	0.2874(7)	0.0718(3)	6.5(5)
O2	0.3048(7)	0.1018(7)	0.1614(4)	7.2(6)
O3	0.3606(7)	0.3556(8)	0.2598(3)	8.5(6)
O4	0.1033(6)	0.2295(7)	0.2272(3)	6.1(5)
05	0.2178(6)	0.5089(7)	0.0239(3)	6.3(5)
06	0.2766(7)	0.1716(7)	0.0460(3)	6.7(3)
07	0.4911(6)	0.2456(7)	0.1629(3)	5.7(5)
08	0.4/51(7)	0.3901(7)	0.0131(3) 0.1057(4)	0.9(0) 2.9(5)
	0.1401(7)	0.3039(8)	0.1037(4)	3.8(3) 4.4(6)
	0.2792(8)	0.1057(9)	0.1021(4) 0.2252(4)	4.4(0)
	0.5145(0)	0.3490(9)	0.2232(4)	4.5(5)
C4	0.1501(8)	0.4650(8)	0.0485(4)	3.7(5)
C6	0.2050(8)	0.2456(10)	0.0625(4)	4 5(6)
C7	0.4467(8)	0.2932(9)	0 1367(4)	4.3(6)
C9	0 2275(9)	0.5971(9)	0.2084(4)	5.4(6)
C10	0.0708(9)	0.4889(11)	0.2111(5)	6.8(8)
C11	0.4697(7)	0.5289(9)	0.1916(4)	4.2(5)
C12	0.5525(8)	0.5432(10)	0.1104(5)	5.4(7)
C21	0.1086(6)	0.5627(8)	0.1224(4)	3.3(4)
C22	0.1447(8)	0.6531(9)	0.1054(4)	4.3(6)
C23	0.1038(9)	0.7001(10)	0.0647(5)	5.5(7)
C24	0.0299(10)	0.6571(11)	0.0398(5)	6.1(8)
C25 -	-0.0054(8)	0.5680(11)	0.0562(5)	5.5(7)
C26	0.0354(7)	0.5207(9)	0.0971(5)	4.6(6)
C31	0.4030(7)	0.6647(8)	0.1160(4)	3.6(5)
C32	0.3759(8)	0.6949(8)	0.0687(4)	4.2(6)
C33	0.3410(8)	0.7921(10)	0.0590(5)	5.2(6)
C34	0.3290(8)	0.8599(9)	0.0926(5)	5.4(7)
C35	0.3567(9)	0.8334(10)	0.1388(5)	5.7(7)
C36	0.3925(8)	0.7363(9)	0.1513(4)	4.4(6)

TABLE 3. Selected	bond distances	(Å) and ang	es (°) for comp	olexes 3, 12, and 16

	<u> </u>			
	3	12	10	
M(1)-M(2); M(3)-M(4)	3.375(1); 3.391(1)	3.454(3)	3.3499(7)	
M(1)-C(1); M(3)-C(21)	2.043(6); 2.040(6)	1.99(2)	2.03(1)	
M(1)-C(2); M(3)-C(22)	1.990(7); 2.024(6)	1.94(2)	2.00(1)	
M(1)-C(3); M(3)-C(23)	2.052(6); 2.044(6)	2.03(2)	2.01(1)	
M(1)-C(4); M(3)-C(24)	1.926(6); 1.914(5)	1.96(2)	1.95(1)	
M(1)-P(1); M(3)-P(3)	2.542(2); 2.534(2)	2.528(6)	2.519(3)	
M(2)-C(5); M(4)-C(25)	2.051(6); 2.038(7)	2.02(3)	2.05(1)	
M(2)-C(6); M(4)-C(26)	2.043(6); 2.020(6)	2.00(3)	2.06(1)	
M(2)-C(7); M(4)-C(27)	2.086(6); 2.081(6)		2.07(1)	
M(2)-N(1); M(4)-N(2)	1.843(5); 1.899(5)	1.80(2)		
M(2)-N			1.82(1)	
M(2) - P(2); M(4) - P(4)	2.551(2); 2.548(2)	2.508(5)	2.537(3)	
M(2) - C(8)		2.15(2)		
M(1) - H(1); M(3) - H(2)	1.98; 1.96			
M(2) - H(1); M(4) - H(2)	1.70; 1.88	11((2))	1 4 4/4	
C(1) - O(1); C(21) - O(21)	1.129(7); 1.130(7)	1.16(3)	1.14(1)	
C(2) = O(2); C(22) = O(22)	1.155(8); 1.118(8)	1.18(3)	1.13(1)	
C(3) = O(3); C(23) = O(23)	1.125(8); 1.115(7)	1.12(3)	1.14(1)	
((4)-0(4); ((24)-0(24))	1.16/(7); 1.152(7)	1.15(3)	1.16(2)	
C(5) = O(5); C(25) = O(25)	1.11/(8); 1.130(8)	1.15(3)	1.14(1)	
(0) - 0(0); (120) - 0(20)	1.12/(/); 1.145(8)	1.17(3)	1.13(2)	
U(1) = O(1); U(2) = O(21)	1.081(8); 1.109(8)		1.13(1)	
N(1) - O(8); N(2) - O(28)	1.1/4(/); 1.158(/)	1 10(2)		
N(1) - O(7)		1.18(2)	1 10(1)	
N-0(8)			1.18(1)	
M(1)-C(1)-O(1); M(3)-C(21)-O(21)	175.0(6); 177.2(5)	176(2)	177.4(9)	
M(1)-C(2)-O(2); M(3)-C(22)-O(22)	178.3(6); 176.4(6)	177(2)	177(1)	
M(1)-C(3)-O(3); M(3)-C(23)-O(23)	176.6(6); 176.4(6)	177(2)	175(1)	
M(1)-C(4)-O(4); M(3)-C(24)-O(24)	177.6(5); 178.4(5)	176(2)	179(1)	
M(2)-C(5)-O(5); M(4)-C(25)-O(25)	176.5(6); 175.5(6)	177(2)	176.3(9)	
M(2)-C(6)-O(6); M(4)-C(26)-O(26)	178.0(6); 177.6(5)	175(2)	176(1)	
M(2)-C(7)-O(7); M(4)-C(27)-O(27)	177.7(6); 178.1(5)		179(1)	
M(2)-N(1)-O(8); M(4)-N(2)-O(28)	179.0(5); 178.9(5)			
M(2)-N(1)-O(7)		178(2)		
M(2)-N-O(8)			176.7(9)	
C(1)-M(1)-C(2); C(21)-M(3)-C(22)	87.8(3); 86.8(3)	88.5(9)	91.6(4)	
C(1)-M(1)-C(3); C(21)-M(3)-C(23)	173.4(3); 171.2(2)	173.8(9)	179.1(5)	
C(1)-M(1)-C(4); C(21)-M(3)-C(24)	89.8(2); 93.2(2)	91.4(9)	92.0(5)	
C(2)-M(1)-C(3); C(22)-M(3)-C(23)	85.6(3); 88.9(3)	86.7(9)	88.5(5)	
C(2)-M(1)-C(4); C(22)-M(3)-C(24)	92.0(3); 88.8(2)	89.1(9)	87.0(5)	
C(3)-M(1)-C(4); C(23)-M(3)-C(24)	90.1(2); 94.4(2)	92.3(8)	88.9(5)	
C(1)-M(1)-P(1); C(21)-M(3)-P(3)	89.6(2); 90.2(2)	94.7(6)	89.4(3)	
C(2)-M(1)-P(1); C(22)-M(3)-P(3)	177.2(2); 176.3(2)	176.8(7)	174.4(3)	
C(3)-M(1)-P(1); C(23)-M(3)-P(3)	97.0(2); 94.4(2)	90.1(7)	90.7(4)	
C(4)-M(1)-P(1); C(24)-M(3)-P(3)	88.9(2); 89.2(2)	91.1(7)	87.4(3)	
C(5)-M(2)-C(6); C(25)-M(4)-C(26)	87.0(3); 87.6(3)	89.1(9)	89.7(4)	
C(5)-M(2)-C(7); C(25)-M(4)-C(27)	172.6(3); 172.6(3)		171.8(5)	
C(5)-M(2)-C(8)		170.6(8)		
C(6)-M(2)-C(7); C(26)-M(4)-C(27)	90.2(3); 89.2(2)		88.4(4)	
C(6)-M(2)-C(8)		89.4(8)		
(15)-M(2)-N(1); C(25)-M(4)-N(2)	93.8(2); 93.2(3)	92.1(8)		
C(6)-M(2)-N(1); C(26)-M(4)-N(2)	91.0(2); 90.6(2)	91.4(7)		
U(7)-M(2)-N(1); U(27)-M(4)-N(2)	93.1(3); 93.5(2)			
C(8) - M(2) - N(1)		97.1(7)		
C(5) - M(2) - N			90.6(4)	
U(0)-M(2)-N			90.7(5)	
U(J)-M(2)-N			97.5(4)	

	3	12	16	
P(2)-M(2)-N(1); P(4)-M(4)-N(2)	93.6(2); 91.1(2)	93.7(5)		
C(5)-M(2)-P(2); C(25)-M(4)-P(4)	95.4(2); 97.2(2)	90.6(7)	96.3(3)	
C(6)-M(2)-P(2); C(27)-M(4)-P(4)	174.6(2); 174.8(2)	175.0(6)	174.0(3)	
C(7)-M(2)-P(2); C(27)-M(4)-P(4)	86.7(2); 85.8(2)		85.2(3)	
C(8) - M(2) - P(2)		90.1(6)		
M(1)-H(1)-M(2); M(3)-H(2)-M(4)	133; 124			

Table 3 (continued)

out since they might not be stable in the solution similar to  $HMo_2(CO)_9(NO)$ . Complexes 5-8 could alternatively be synthesized from ligand substitution of  $HMo_2(CO)_9(NO)$  with appropriate ligands.

The generally low yields for the aforementioned complexes are partially due to their decomposition during isolation procedures such as chromatography. Dimolybdenum complexes 1-10 and  $HMo_2(CO)_q(NO)$ appear to be much less stable than their corresponding tungsten analogues. For instance, 9 and 10 could only be recrystallized at low temperatures whereas 15-17 survived fast column chromatography. The order of stability of these new dimolybdenum complexes in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at ambient temperature is found to be as follows:  $(CO)_4(L)Mo(\mu-H)Mo(CO)_3(L)(NO) < (\mu-H)Mo(CO)_3(L)(NO) <$ H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>P ~ PPh<sub>2</sub>)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>(NO) < (CO)<sub>5</sub>Mo( $\mu$ -H)-Mo(CO)<sub>3</sub>(L)(NO). In 9 and 10, both phosphine ligands are likely to be exo to the bent (OC)<sub>ax</sub>-Mo-Mo-(NO)<sub>ax</sub> molecular backbone according to the structural analysis of 16. The low instability of 9 and 10 could possibly be due to the unfavourable steric contacts between the equatorial ligands of the complexes. Although diphosphine ligands, as frequently used to retain the dimeric metal unit [15], have improved the stability of  $(\mu-H)(\mu-H)$  $Ph_2P \sim PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_7(NO)$  (1-4) relative to 9 and 10, in  $CH_2Cl_2$  at ambient conditions, 1-4 still decompose to  $(\eta^2 - Ph_2P \sim PPh_2)Mo(CO)_4$  and unidentifiable products within 1 h.

Nucleophilic attack of N-propylphosphinimine at one of the carbonyl ligands of 1-4 occurs readily due to the presence of the strong  $\pi$ -acceptor, NO. Deoxygenation of carbonyl ligand with elimination of O=PPh<sub>3</sub> [16] results in formation of  $(\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>)[Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>][Mo(CO)<sub>2</sub>(CNPr)(NO)] (11-14, n =1-4). Complex 12 was also structurally characterized. In contrast to complexes 1-4, 11-14 are stable in solution, possibly owing to the elongation of Mo-Mo distance of the latter which decreases the repulsive interaction among equatorial ligands.

The spectra of the new complexes (Table 4) are consistent with their formulation. The proton chemical shifts of the hydrides are in the range of 10.5–12 ppm upfield from Me<sub>4</sub>Si and are consistent with the existence of a Mo-H-Mo linkage [9]. The H-P coupling constants  ${}^{2}J(H-P)$  also appear to be normal. The two

phosphorus atoms in 1-4 and 9-17 are magnetically inequivalent based on the  $^{31}P$  NMR spectra. The similarity of their infrared spectral pattern to those of the corresponding tungsten analogues [5,7,14] suggests that molybdenum and tungsten congeners have similar structures in the solution.

3.1. Molecular structures of  $\mu$ -H[W(CO)<sub>4</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)][W-(CO)<sub>3</sub>(PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph)(NO)] (16), ( $\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>(NO) (3), and ( $\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-PPh<sub>2</sub>)Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>(CN<sup>n</sup>Pr)(NO) (12)

The ORTEP drawings of 16, 3, and 12 are shown in Figs. 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Similar to other dinuclear Group VI metal complexes containing a M-H-M linkage, the two metal atoms reside in a roughly octahedral environment, with the nitrosyl ligand occupying the axial position. The overall structure in these complexes is bent (the framework bends [17\*] for 16: 28.8(2)°; 3: 17.7(1)°; 12: 13.5(4)°), and the equatorial ligands are staggered (staggering angle for 16: 51.9(1)°; 3: 48.7(0)°, 43.0(0)°; 12: 33.0(2)°). Both PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph ligands of 16 are exo to the bent  $(OC)_{ax}$ -W-(NO)\_{ax} molecular backbone. Although substitution of P(OMe)<sub>3</sub> (cone angle 107° [18]) for one CO in  $HW_2(CO)_9(NO)$  (W-W distance 3.329(1) Å) [19] results in elongation of W-W distance  $(3.393(4) \text{ Å for } HW_2(CO)_8(P(OMe)_3)(NO)$ [20]), the W-W distance in 16 (3.3499(7) Å) is shorter than that of  $HW_2(CO)_8(P(OMe)_3)(NO)$  even though the former has two sterically more demanding PMe<sub>2</sub>Ph groups (cone angle 122°). Darensbourg [4b] suggested that the framework bend (the angle of intersection defined by two (OC)<sub>ax</sub>-M vectors) being greater in  $((\mu-H)(Mo(CO)_4(PMePh_2))_2)^-$  (148°) than in  $((\mu-H)(Mo(CO)_4(PMePh_2))_2)^ H)(Mo_2(CO)_9(PPh_3))^-$  (163°) indicated an increased Mo · · · Mo interaction and shortened Mo-Mo distance in the former. The angles of intersection defined by  $(OC)_{ax}$ -W and  $(ON)_{ax}$ -W are 156° and 153° for 16 and  $HW_2(CO)_8(P(OMe)_3)(NO)$ , respectively. It is therefore not unreasonable to correlate the framework bend with the metal-metal distance.

There are two crystallographically independent molecules for 3. The two molecules differ in metal-

<sup>\*</sup> Reference number with an asterisk indicates a note in the list of references.

TABLE 4. IR spectra and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P(H) NMR spectra for complexes 1-17

Compound	$\nu$ (CO), $\nu$ (NO) <sup>a</sup> (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\delta$ (ppm) <sup>b,c</sup> , $J$ (Hz)	$\delta$ (ppm) <sup>b,d</sup> , J(Hz)
1	2088m, 2014s, 1914sh,	Ph (7.45-7.26, m, 20H); CH <sub>2</sub> (3.47,	$PPh_2$ (38.6, d, 1P, ${}^2J(P-P) =$
	1899s, 1889sh; 1684m, br	dd, 2H, ${}^{1}J(P-H) = 4.5, 3.1$ ; $\mu$ -H	175); PPh <sub>2</sub> (29.2, d, 1P)
		$(-11.4, dd, 1H, {}^{2}J(P-H) = 14.5, 14.4)$	
2	2088m, 2013s, 1939sh,	<i>Ph</i> (7.81–7.17, m, 20H); CH <sub>2</sub> (2.74,	<b>PPh</b> <sub>2</sub> (39.8, s, 1P); <b>PPh</b> <sub>2</sub>
	1906s, 1887sh; 1692m, br	br, 4H); $\mu$ -H (-11.9, pseudo triplet,	(34.7, s, 1P)
		$1H, {}^{2}J(P-H) = 22.3)$	
3	2088m, 2013s, 1944s,	<i>Ph</i> (7.57–7.42, m, 20H); $CH_2$ (3.01,	$PPh_2$ (31.0, s, 1P); $PPh_2$
	1912sh, 1894s, 1871sh;	m, 2H); $CH_2$ (2.56, m, 2H); $CH_2$	(26.8, s, 1P)
	1690m, br	(1.90, m, 2H); $\mu$ -H (-11.0, pseudo	
	0000 0000 0000	triplet, 1H, $(P-H) = 19.5$	
4	2088m, 2072w, 2073s,	Ph (7.50–7.27, m, 20H); $CH_2$ (3.54, m,	$PPh_2$ (24.0, s, 1P); $PPh_2$
	19398, 19128, 18948, 1866abi 1688m br	4HJ; $CH_2$ (1.32, m, 4HJ; $\mu$ -H (-10.7, mean do triplet 1H $^2$ ((P, H) - 16.5)	(21.8, s, 1P)
E	2004w 2054w 2022	$P_{\rm b}(7.62, 7.51, m, 15U)$ , $H(-11.5)$	DDL(25.2)
2	1032xs: 1608m br	$F_{II}(7.02-7.51, \text{III}, 15H), \mu-H(-11.5, 4.1H)^{-2}(P-H) = 17.3)$	$F F \Pi_3 (33.2, 8)$
6	2095w 2065w 2021s	$P_{h}(7.74-7.34 \text{ m} 12\text{H}) = 17.3)$	P(341 s)
U	1935vs 1706m hr	$I H^{2} I(P_{-}H) = 18.0$	1 (54.1, 5)
7	2093w 2064w 2022s	$P_h(7.51-7.35 \text{ m} 12\text{H}) \cap CH_s(2.39)$	P(32.7 s)
•	1936vs <sup>,</sup> 1700m br	$(1.5)^{-11}$ (1.5), ini, 1211), $(2.5)^{-11}$	1 (32.7, 3)
	,,,	${}^{2}J(P-H) = 17.2)$	
8	2094w, 2071w, 2022s,	$Ph$ (7.53–7.08, m. 12H); OC $H_2$ (3.85,	P (30.4, s)
	1941vs; 1702m, br	s. 9H): $\mu$ -H (-11.5, d. 1H.	. (, .)
	, ,	$^{2}J(P-H) = 17.2)$	
9	2096w, 2025s, 1948sh,	$OCH_3$ (3.6, s, br, 9H); $OCH_3$ (3.5, s,	$P(OMe)_3$ (105.9, s, 1P);
	1907s, 1876sh; 1690m, br	br, 9H); $\mu$ -H (-11.8, br, 1H)	P(OMe) <sub>3</sub> (89.8, s, 1P)
10	2084w, 2014s, 1937sh,	<i>Ph</i> (7.46–7.42, m, 10H); CH <sub>3</sub> (1.69,	$PMe_2Ph$ (34.7, s, 1P);
	1900s, 1860m; 1680m, br	d, 6H, ${}^{2}J(P-H) = 15.0$ ; CH <sub>3</sub> (1.67, d,	$PMe_2Ph$ (33.1, s, 1P)
		$6H, J(P-H) = 16.0); \mu - H (-10.9, dd,$	
		$1H$ , $^{2}J(P-H) = 16.0, 15.0)$	_
11	2039s, 2009wm, 1980m,	<i>Ph</i> (7.58–7.26, m, 20H); <i>CH</i> <sub>2</sub> (3.76	$PPh_2$ (40.1, d, 1P, ${}^2J(P-P) =$
	1889s, 1868sh; 1646m, br	m, 4H); $CH_2CH_3$ (1.62, m, 2H); $CH_3$	162); PPh <sub>2</sub> (33.2, d, 1P)
	2189w ( $\nu$ (CN))	$(0.93, t, 3H, {}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.2); \mu - H$	
	2025 2005 1052	(-11.9, dd, 1H, 4/(P-H) = 18.7, 18.6)	
12	203/8, $200/8$ m, $19/3$ m, $1900$ m, $1900$ m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	$Ph(7.69-7.42, m, 20H); NCH_2(3.51, m, 20H)) = 0.011 (2.00 h, 40H) = 0.011 (2.00 h, 40H$	$PPh_2(43.1, d, 1P, J(P-P) =$
	1890s, 1801m; 1034m, Dr	m, 2H); $PCH_2$ (2.90, br, 4H); $CH_2CH_3$	24.5); PPh <sub>2</sub> (38.7, d, 1P)
	2180w (D(CIN))	$(1.40, 11, 21); CH_3(0.73, 1, 51, 31)$	
		$J(\Pi - \Pi) = 7.4$ ; $\mu - \Pi (-12.1, pseudotriplet 1 \frac{1}{2}I(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{u}) = 21.2)$	
13	2033s 2006wm 1976m	$Ph (7.63-7.26 \text{ m} -20 \text{H}) \cdot \text{NC} H_{-} (3.53)$	PPh (32.0 c 1D) PPh
10	1905s 1871m: 1660m br	m 2H): $PCH_{2}$ (2.92 hr 4H): $CH_{2}$	$(28.6 \times 1P)$
	$2196w (\nu(CN))$	(2.55, m, 2H); CH <sub>2</sub> (2.52, 61, 4H); CH <sub>2</sub> (2.55, m, 2H); CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> (1.56, m, 2H);	(20.0, 5, 11)
		$CH_2$ (0.87, t, 3H, ${}^3J$ (H–H) = 7.4): $\mu$ -H	
		$(-11.2, pseudo triplet, 1H, {}^{2}J(P-H)$	
		= 18.4)	
14	2032s, 2006wm, 1968m,	<i>Ph</i> (7.51–7.26, m, 20H); NCH <sub>2</sub> (3.54,	PPh <sub>2</sub> (28.1, s, 1P); PPh <sub>2</sub>
	1933sh, 1894s, 1857sh;	t, 2H, ${}^{3}J(H-H) = 6.8$ ; PC $H_{2}$ (2.78, br,	(26.5, s, 1P)
	1648m, br	4H); CH <sub>2</sub> (2.53, m, 4H); CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	
	$2201w(\nu(CN))$	(1.45, br, 4H); CH <sub>3</sub> (0.75, t, 3H,	
		${}^{3}J(H-H) = 7.4$ ; $\mu$ -H (-10.7, pseudo	
		triplet, 1H, ${}^{2}J(P-H) = 16.2)$	
15	2090w, 2020s, 1899s,	$OCH_3$ (3.86, d, 9H, <sup>3</sup> J(P-H) = 11.4);	$P(OMe)_3$ (146.8, s, 1P,
	1870m; 1094m, Dr	$UUH_3$ (3.65, d, 9H, $J(P-H) = 11.3$ );	$^{4}J(W-P) = 352$ ; $P(OMe)_{3}$
		$\mu$ -H (-10.9, dd, 1H, <sup>2</sup> J(P-H) = 22.7, 25 1, 1/(W, H) = 20.0	(126.8, s, 1P, J(W-P) = 400)
16	207002 2000- 1000-	$43.1; \ J(W \sim \Pi) = 38.0)$	
10	201798, 20098, 10898, 1864m, 1671 br	$r_{1}$ (1.02-1.43, m, 10H); $r_{1}$ (1.95, d $\epsilon_{1}$ 21(D H) = 9.7); $r_{1}$ (1.95 d	$P Me_2 Pn(-20.2, s, 1P, 100) = 2020 Ph(-2020) Ph(-2020$
	1004III; 1071M, DI	u, on, $J(r-n) = \delta$ . /); $F(H_3 (1.85), d, 6H^2(P-H) = 8.7)$ $H(-0.99)$	$J(W-P) = 203$ ; $PMe_2Ph$ (-23.5 a 1P $I/(W, P) = 229$ )
		pseudo triplet 1H ${}^{2}I(P_{-}H) = 16.5$	(-23.3, 5, 1r, 3(W-r) = 230)
		${}^{1}J(W-H) = 46.8)$	
		- ( TY AA) - TV.U/	

TABLE 4 (continued)

17	2079w, 2007s, 1933m,	$CH_3$ (1.88, d, 9H, $^2J(P-H) = 6.8$ ); $CH_3$	$PMe_3(-34.3, s, 1P, {}^1J(W-P))$
	1891s, 1860m; 1682m, br	$(1.76, d, 9H, {}^{2}J(P-H) = 8.4); \mu-H$	= 252); PMe <sub>3</sub> ( $-35.1$ , s, 1P,
		$(-10.4, pseudo triplet, 1H, {}^{2}J(P-H)$	${}^{1}J(W-P) = 299)$
		$= 10.8, {}^{1}J(W-H) = 28.5)$	

<sup>a</sup> Measured in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. <sup>b</sup> Measured in acetone- $d_6$  except for 1-4, 9, and 10 which were measured in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. <sup>c</sup> Reported in ppm relative to  $\delta(Me_4Si) = 0$  ppm. <sup>d</sup> Reported in ppm relative to  $\delta(85\% H_3PO_4) = 0$  ppm. Abbreviations: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, m = multiplet. These abbreviations do not include the satellites.

metal distance by 0.016 Å, albeit they have approximately similar structures. The two phosphine ligands in 3 are exo to the bent (OC)<sub>ax</sub>-Mo-Mo-(NO)<sub>ax</sub> molecular backbone, similar to those observed for  $(\mu-H)(\mu-H)$  $Ph_2P(CH_2)_4PPh_2)Mo_2(CO)_8^-$  [9] and  $(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_3 PPh_2W_2(CO)_2(NO)$  [14]. We were able to locate the position of the bridging hydride in the final difference Fourier maps for 3. The hydride bridge and the phosphine bridge are parallel. The hydride is asymmetrically bridged and closer to the molybdenum atom containing a better  $\pi$ -acceptor, NO. The observed Mo-H distances (1.98; 1.70; 1.96; 1.88 Å) and the Mo-H-Mo angles (133°; 124°) are in agreement with the reported values (Mo-H, 1.68-2.19 Å; Mo-H-Mo, 127-136°) [9]. The Mo-Mo distance in 3 (3.375(1), 3.391(1) Å) is much shorter than those of  $(\mu-H)(\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_4$ - $PPh_2$ )Mo<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sup>-</sup><sub>8</sub> (3.4995(8) Å), indicative of the electronic influence of the NO ligand upon the M-M interaction in a 3c-2e M-H-M linkage.

The "PrNC ligand of the complex 12 is coordinated to the molybdenum atom containing NO and is *cis* to the phosphine ligand on the same metal. These structural features are similar to those of  $(\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -



Fig. 1. Molecular structure of 16. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

dppm)W<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>(L)(NO) (L = Ph<sub>2</sub>PH; PhPH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-CN)) [21]. The structural preference of these products derived from  $(\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)M<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>-(NO) could be rationalized by electronic effects, *i.e.*, the strong  $\pi$ -accepting ability of NO would activate CO ligands on the same metal, and the CO ligand



Fig. 2. Molecular structure of 3. The hydrogen atoms except for  $\mu$ -H are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 3. Molecular structure of 12. The hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

trans to another CO ligand would be more activated than the CO ligand trans to a weaker  $\pi$ -accepting phosphine ligand. Displacement of CO by a sterically more demanding "PrNC causes the metal-metal distance (3.454(3) Å) of 12 to be longer than that of ( $\mu$ -H)( $\mu$ -Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>)W<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>7</sub>(NO) (3.3709(8) Å) [22]. There may also be electronic influence on the lengthened metal-metal distance in 12, since the  $\nu$ (NO) bands for 11-14 are observed in the significantly lower frequency region (around 1690 cm<sup>-1</sup>) than in 1-4 (around 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>), indicating the electron rich nature of the metal centres in these isocyanide complexes.

#### 4. Supplementary material available

All bond distances and angles (Table S1: 16, 2 pages; 3, 4 pages; 12, 2 pages), anisotropic thermal parameters and isotropic thermal parameters (Table S2: 16, 1 page; 3, 3 pages; 12, 2 pages), positional parameters for calculated hydrogen atoms (Table S3: 16, 1 page; 3, 1 page; 12, 1 page), and structure factors (Table S4: 16, 17 pages; 3, 31 pages; 12, 17 pages), are all available from the authors.

#### Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Science Council under grant NSC-82-0208-M-001-039.

#### **References and notes**

- 1 B. Jezowska-Trzebiatowska and B. Nissen-Sobocinska, J. Organomet. Chem., 322 (1987) 331.
- 2 R. Teller and R. Bau, Structure Bonding (Berlin), 44 (1982) 1.
- 3 (a) J.L. Petersen, R.K. Brown, and J.M. Williams, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20 (1981) 158; (b) J.L. Petersen, A. Masino, and R.P. Stewart, Jr., *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 208 (1981) 55; (c) J. Roziere, P. Teulon and M.D. Grillone, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22 (1983) 557.
- 4 (a) M.Y. Darensbourg, J.L. Atwood, R.P. Burch, Jr., W.E. Hunter and N. Walker, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101 (1979) 2631; (b) M.Y. Darensbourg, J.L. Atwood, W.E. Hunter and R.P. Burch, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) 3290.
- 5 J.T. Lin, S.Y. Wang, P.S. Huang, Y.M. Hsiao, Y.S. Wen and S.K. Yeh, J. Organomet. Chem., 388 (1990) 151.
- 6 M.K. Lee, S.Y. Wang, Y.S. Wen and J.T. Lin, Organometallics, 9 (1990) 2181.
- 7 J.T. Lin, P.S. Huang, T.T.R. Tsai, C.Y. Liao, L.H. Tseng, Y.S. Wen and F.K. Shi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 31 (1992) 4444.
- 8 J.T. Lin, A.C. Yeh, T.Y.R. Tsai and Y.S. Wen, J. Organomet. Chem., (1993) in press.
- 9 M.Y. Darensbourg, R. El Mehdawi, T.J. Delord, F.R. Fronczek and S.F. Watkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 2583.
- 10 J.T. Lin and Y.M. Shiao, J. Organomet. Chem., 334 (1987) C31.
- 11 (a) E.J. Gabe and F.L. Lee, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 37 (1981) S339; (b)
  E.J. Gabe, Y. LePage, P.S. White and F.L. Lee, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 43 (1987) C294.
- 12 International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, UK, 1974, vol. IV.
- 13 (a) B.F.G. Johnson and J.A. Segal, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1972) 1268; (b) N.G. Connelly, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1973) 2183; (c) K.G. Caulton, Coord. Chem. Rev., 14 (1975) 317; (d) W.H. Hersh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 4599; (e) J.T. Lin, Y.F. Lin, S.Y. Wang, J.S. Sun and S.K. Yeh, Bull. Inst. Chem. Academia Sinica, 36 (1989) 63.
- 14 J.T. Lin, K.Y. Chang, S.Y. Wang, Y.S. Wen, C.C. Chang, S.M. Peng, Y. Wang and G.H. Lee, Organometallics, 10 (1991) 2377.
- 15 (a) A. Blagg, R. Robson, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 2171; (b) G.B. Jacobson, B.L. Shaw and M. Thornton-Pett, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1987) 1489, 1509.
- 16 (a) H. Alper and R.A. Partis, J. Organomet. Chem., 35 (1972) C40; (b) T. Kiji, A. Matsumura, T. Haishi, S. Osazaji and J. Furukawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 50 (1977) 2731; (c) C.A. Mirkin, K.L. Lu and G.L. Geoffroy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 7279; (d) L.C. Chen, M.Y. Chen, J.H. Chen, Y.S. Wen and K.L. Lu, J. Organomet. Chem., 425 (1992) 99.
- 17 The bending angle is defined as the dihedral angle between the two least-squares planes through the two sets of equatorial ligands. The staggering angle is defined as the  $P_1-W_1-W_2-P_2$  torsion angle.
- 18 C.A. Tolman, Chem. Rev., (1985) 171.
- 19 J.P. Olson, T.F. Koetzle, S.W. Kirtley, M. Andrews, D.L. Tipton and R. Bau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 6621.
- 20 R.A. Love, H.B. Chin, T.F. Koetzle, S.W. Kirtley, B.R. Whittlesty and R. Bau, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98 (1976) 4491.
- 21 J.T. Lin, K.Y. Chang, M.L. Gong, C.C. Chang, H.M. Gau, L.H. Tsen and Y.S. Wen, J. Organomet. Chem., 431 (1992) 65.
- 22 J.T. Lin and K.Y. Chang, unpublished results.